STATEMENT

Name of the officer	Allotment year	Parent Cadre	Transferred	Year	Grounds
Maitreyi Das	1986	Sikkim	Maharasthra	1991	Personal
I. Rani Kumudini	1988	Jammu & Kashmir	Andhra Pradesh	1991	Medical
S.A. Tagade	1991	Kerala	Maharashtra	1992	Personal
R.N. Gupta	1979	West Bengal	Punjab	1992	Personal
Anita Bhatnagar	1985	Gujarat	Uttar Pd.	1993	Personal
S.K. Srivastava	1980	Manipur-Tripura	AGMUT	1994	Medical
A.H. Samoon	1993	Andhra Pradesh	Jammu and Kashmir	1994	Personal

NEC

5257. SHRI UDDHAB BARMAN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether a study by the Institute of applied manpower research, Delhi sponsored by NEC was conducted for assessment of manpower requirements for the States of NE region (1985-2000AD); and

(b) if so, the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI S.R. BALASUBRAMONIYAN) : (a) and (b) The information in being collected and would be laid on the Table of the House.

Reservation Policy/Roster Implementation

5258. SHRI SANAT KUMAR MANDAL : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) details of the judgement delivered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 7608 of 1995 dated 3rd August, 1995; and

(b) the steps taken by the Government for proper implementation of reservation policy in the light of said judgement so far as position and seniority of SCs/STs in roster is concerned ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI S.R. BALASUBRAMONIYAN) : (a) The judgement of the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 7608 of 1995 deals with fixation of seniority as per reservation roster *vis-a-vis* merit panel, in the context of the rules of the Haryana Government.

(b) Union of India was nof a party to the case nor were the relevant orders of the Central Government questioned. Hence, the question of revising reservation policy of the Central Government in the light of the judgement does not arise.

Audit of the Tehri Multipurpose Project

5259. SHRI JAG MOHAN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether no audit of the Tehri multipurpose project has been carried out by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India since 1988 despite the fact that over Rs. 1000 crores have been spent;

(b) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether in 1988 report, the CAG had expressed grave doubts about the economic viability of the project ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF NON-CONVENTIONAL ENERGY SOURCES (DR. S. VENUGOPALACHARI) : (a) and (b) The Tehri Hydroelectric Project was originally approved for implementation by the Government of Uttar Pradesh. Subsequently, it was decided to take up the execution of the Project in the joint sector and for this purpose, a joint venture company of Government of India and